

DISTRIBUTION OF CAVE-DWELLING AEGLA SPP. (DECAPODA: ANOMURA: AEGLIDAE) FROM THE ALTO RIBEIRA KARSTIC AREA IN SOUTHEASTERN BRAZIL BASED ON GEOMORPHOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

Camile Sorbo Fernandes^{1,*}, Sergio Luiz de Siqueira Bueno², and Maria Elina Bichuette¹

¹ Departamento de Ecologia e Biologia Evolutiva, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Via Washington Luís, km 235, CEP: 13565-905, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

² Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, travessa 14, n. 101, CEP: 05508-090, São Paulo, Brazil

ABSTRACT

In this study, we assess the main ecological and evolutionary causes of the current distribution of cave dwelling species of *Aegla* from the Alto Ribeira karstic province, southeastern Brazil. Based on field surveys conducted over several years and on examination of scientific collections, we discuss herein how processes of colonization, dispersal, and vicariance could have resulted in the present pattern of distribution of these species. Given the extensive area of continuous limestone lenses interrupted by insoluble rocks, the distribution of aeglids from Alto Ribeira is limited by stratigraphic barriers, possibly due to difficulty in accessing other underground compartments and low vagility of juveniles. Sympatric speciation is unlikely, since molecular evidence does not support a strong sister-group relationship between extant troglophilic and troglobitic species with sympatric distribution in the study area. Under differing sources of evidence, we hypothesize that Pleistocene climatic fluctuations and drainage changes is the main cause of vicariance in the study area, while the fragmented nature of the limestone lenses probably hindered subsurface dispersion. Troglobites could be relicts of extinct epigean ancestrals, while the extant troglophiles did not achieve reproductive isolation after vicariance events, resulting in the present co-distribution with species less closely related.

KEY WORDS: Aegla, allopatric speciation, endemic troglobites, troglophiles

DOI: 10.1163/1937240X-00002159

INTRODUCTION

One subject that has frequently been addressed by speleobiologists is the causal factors influencing distribution and speciation patterns of troglobitic (= obligate) cave fauna. Historic and island biogeography hypotheses have supported cave fauna distribution studies, explaining biological phenomena at different spatio-temporal scales. In this regard, several authors stressed the importance of vicariance, dispersion, and ecological interactions influencing the distribution of troglobitic species (Barr, 1967, 1968; Barr and Holsinger, 1985; Christiansen and Culver, 1987; Culver et al., 2009).

Karstic areas are composed of dissolution rocks (carbonatic/limestone and quartizitic rocks), comprise caves (endokarst), and superficial landforms (dolines and towers). These features are formed by acidified water and can be connected with other subterranean habitats (hyporreic, interstitial, and superficial habitats – the Milieu Souterrain Superficiel or MSS), forming a subterranean system delimited by insoluble rocks (Karmann and Sánchez, 1979; Palmer, 2007).

We know that some cave organisms can potentially disperse via subterranean routes in continuous karst. Based on observations of distributional patterns in beetles (Carabidae: Trechinae), Barr (1967, 1968) discussed the ecological consequences of limestone discontinuity. He hypothesized that there should be more subterranean species with smaller geographic ranges, and infrequent sympatry between closely related species in areas with interrupted limestone outcrops.

Since then, a series of studies focusing on the role of migration-extinction dynamics, besides vicariance of cavelimited species, under an island biogeographic approach (Culver et al., 1973; Culver et al., 2009) have been made. This research stressed the importance of examining the levels of migration and extinction in island-like environments because extinction destroys the history of distribution whereas migration obscures it (Culver et al., 1973; Culver, 1982).

In their classic revision, Barr and Holsinger (1985) compiled and discussed a large amount of data encompassing speciation and distribution of many terrestrial and aquatic troglobites. They discussed the vagility and size of the organisms influencing geographic expanses and demonstrated the influence of non-karst routes to aquatic species dispersion patterns as well.

More recently, Christman and Culver (2001) discussed the role that habitat availability plays in the distribution of obligate cave fauna in North America. They showed that species richness depends on the local number of caves

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail: camilesorbofernandes@yahoo.com.br

allowing colonization and isolation and is also controlled by regional habitat availability because of migration, when considerable subsurface dispersion occurs. Culver and Sket (2000) and Gibert and Deharveng (2002) also discussed how fragmented habitats and restrictions to dispersal in karst areas lead to many endemic species with limited distribution and diversity being expressed regionally rather than locally.

In keeping with this conceptual framework, we take cavedwelling aeglid decapods as a biological model to discuss dispersion and speciation patterns from an evolutionary and ecological perspective. *Aegla* Leach, 1820 is the only extant genus of Aeglidae Dana, 1852 and is endemic to subtropical and temperate South America, with meridional and septentrional limits of distribution represented by the Duque de York Island, in Chile, and Claraval County, in Brazil, respectively (Bueno et al., 2007; Oyanedel et al., 2011).

Anomuran decapods are typically associated with marine habitats although some are estuarine and some are even considered terrestrial. *Aegla*, however, is unique because all known species are entirely adapted to freshwater habitats (Schmitt, 1942; Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994), albeit the early marine origin of Aeglidae as suggested by fossil records (Feldmann, 1984; Feldmann et al., 1998). Besides *Aegla*, complete adaptation to the freshwater habitat has also been reported in the anomuran diogenid hermit crab, *Clibanarius fonticola* McLaughlin and Murray, 1990 from Vanuatu (McLaughlin and Murray, 1990).

Over 70 species of aeglids have been described so far (see annotated checklist by McLaughlin et al. (2010), plus recent descriptions of new species by Bond-Buckup et al. (2010a, b) and Santos et al. (2009, 2010, 2012)). There is still great potential for discovering new species inhabiting yet unexplored epigean and hypogean habitats (Bueno et al., 2010).

Most species of *Aegla* are found in epigean habitats such as rivers and lakes (Bond-Buckup et al., 2008). Some species, however, are obligate (troglobites) and facultative (troglophiles) cave-dwelling species and are endemic to the karst province of the Alto Ribeira located in the Ribeira do Iguape Hydrographic Basin, southeastern Brazil (Türkay, 1972; Trajano, 1987; Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; Gnaspini and Trajano, 1994; Moracchioli, 1994; Rocha and Bueno, 2004; Rocha and Bueno, 2011).

There are three troglobitic aeglid species described so far: *A. leptochela* Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; *A. microphthalma* Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; and *A. cavernicola* Türkay, 1972. Four additional species still await formal description (Bueno, unpublished data). Compared to epigean populations, all troglobitic aeglid species exhibit marked troglomorphic traits, such as exoskeleton depigmentation, reduced eyestalk and pigmented area of the cornea, proportionally longer walking legs and antennal flagella as compared to body size (Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; Alves Jr., 2007).

Troglobitic aeglids are highly endemic and have allopatric distribution as the occurrence of each species is restricted to its respective type locality. These type localities are located within the boundaries of the Intervales State Park (PEI) and the Alto Ribeira Touristic State Park (PETAR), in southeastern Brazil. These two parks occupy a large area (about 81712 ha) of the karstic province characterized by discontinuous limestone lenses interrupted by non-limestone rocks (Karmann and Sánchez, 1979; São Paulo, 2006).

Besides the troglobitic species, two additional troglophilic aeglid species are also found in the PEI and in the PETAR: *Aegla schmitti* Hobbs III, 1978; and *A. marginata* Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994. These two species may transit freely between the epigean and hypogean environs and are able to establish self-sustained populations in both habitats. The area of occupancy (sensu IUCN, 2010) of troglophilic species is much larger than that of obligate cave-dwelling species and exceeds to a great extent the boundaries of both parks.

The purpose of the present scientific paper is to discuss the distribution of *Aegla* in the Alto Ribeira karstic area, based on geological and molecular data available in the scientific literature, and field surveys recently carried out by our team.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Alto Ribeira karstic area is geologically defined by the presence of metasediments and marine limestone, deposited in a Pre-Cambrian tectonically active environment. In the study area, the lithology consists of phyllites, quartzites, crystalline limestones, metaconglomerates, and metabasites (Karmann and Sánchez, 1979). Discontinuous limestone outcrops are oriented NE-SW, with a width varying from 1000 to 8000 m, but reaching up to 20 000 m of extension (Karmann and Sánchez, 1979; Genther et al., 2003). Intercalated to the limestone lenses, the insoluble rocks mentioned above isolate different cave systems (Trajano, 1991; Bichuette, 1998).

The study area encompassed two contiguous conservation units: the Intervales State Park (PEI), and the Alto Ribeira Touristic State Park (PETAR) (Fig. 1). Only two limestone lenses cross the Intervales area, originating an extensive area of continuous limestone intercalated with insoluble rocks as phyllites and granites (Campanha, 2003). In contrast, PETAR has four limestone lenses crossing its area, where about 500 caves are found (Trajano, 1991; Bichuette, 1998; Karmann and Ferrari, 2002).

Data and information regarding aeglids from Alto Ribeira were obtained from examination of materials from two scientific collections: Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), and Laboratório de Estudos de Eglídeos da Universidade de São Paulo (LEEUSP). Additional materials were sampled from hypogean and epigean habitats from both State Parks (see Table 1 for details) by means of visual inspections and hand nets (Moracchioli, 1994) and baited traps randomly placed along the bottom of the rivers (Bueno et al., 2007).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of Geomorphology of Alto Ribeira Karstic Area on Subterranean Aeglids

A subterranean system is defined as continuous exposures of soluble rocks dissolved by acidified water. It provides a heterogeneous habitat in which the caves are only a part of the complex system of the interconnected flow pathways, storages and voids (filled or not with water) of different sizes and inhabited by hypogean invertebrates (Juberthie and Decu, 1994; Juberthie, 2000). In a continuous limestone, the interconnected subterranean system rarely imposes restrictions to dispersion of subterranean biota (Barr, 1967, 1968; Barr and Holsinger, 1985, for instance). In the interface between karstified (soluble) and non-karstified (insoluble) rocks other kinds of subterranean environments could occur, such as the micro-spaces between disaggregated sediments establishing dispersion routes for few organisms, but the great majority of the obligate subterranean cave faunas follows the delimitation of the channels in continuous cave systems (Barr and



Fig. 1. Distribution of limestone lenses (in gray) and main rivers in the Alto Ribeira Touristic State Park (PETAR) and Intervales State Park (PEI). A = Betari River; B = Iporanga River; C = Pilões River; D = Ribeira River; 1 = Area amplified in Fig. 2; 2 = Area amplified in Fig. 3 (modified from Sallun and Sallun Filho, 2009).

Holsinger, 1985; Trajano, 1992). This distinction between karst and non karst routes is very important for the comprehension of dispersion patterns of subterranean biota.

Figures 2 and 3 show distribution records of aeglids in the contiguous karstic area, based on data from previous distributional records from scientific collections (see Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; Moracchioli, 1994; Rocha and Bueno, 2004) and from recent field surveys conducted by our team. Name and geographic coordinates from each location are provided in Table 1. It becomes clear that the distribution of obligate cave-dwelling aeglids from the Alto Ribeira follows the NE-SW orientation of the stratigraphic barriers and possibly is reminiscent of the colonization and speciation processes (see discussion in the next topic).

Despite the extensive area of continuous karst occurring in Intervales (Campanha, 2003), the troglobite *A. leptochela* occurs only in its type locality, the dos Paiva Cave. It seems that this species lacks the ability to disperse via subterranean channels (see Christiansen and Culver, 1987) or even that competition with the sympatric troglophile (*A. marginata*) could represent an additional restriction to distribution in other caves located in the same limestone lenses, as discussed by Barr (1967).

Ecological constraints (Barr, 1967; Christiansen and Culver, 1987) probably also had a crucial role in the distribution of all troglobitic *Aegla* from PETAR, since underground dispersion in the same limestone outcrop rarely occurs. Indeed, while A. microphthalma is endemic to its type locality, A. cavernicola occurs in the Areias de Cima Cave (upstream) and Areias de Baixo Cave (downstream), both caves are located very close to one another in continuous limestone lenses. The latter species can migrate only a short distance via subterranean routes as shown by its distribution in both caves, but it does not occur in the Ressurgência das Areias de Água Quente Cave, located several kilometers downstream in the same cave system. The distribution of the four new species corroborates our observations, since each species was found in a specific cave; with all these caves located in the same limestone outcrop. Like all the other obligate cave species, their distribution follows the orientation of the limestone. Troglobitic Aegla, unlike troglophiles, does not overcome different cave systems, i.e., located in another limestone outcrop, through epigean routes (Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; Moracchioli, 1994; Rocha and Bueno, 2004).

Barr (1967) and Barr and Holsinger (1985) hypothesized that interrupted limestone outcrops could act as extrinsic barriers and so the areas with this geological conformity should have a higher number of endemic species with limited distribution ranges. Our observations corroborate this predicted pattern, as the area with more limestone lenses interrupted by insoluble rocks (PETAR) has a relatively higher number of endemic species of *Aegla*, with limited range of distribution. Somewhat intriguing is that it was expected that some of these species could migrate through

Study area	Stream reaches (cave registration number)	Coordinates	Aeglid species
PETAR	Betari River	24°31′14″S; 048°41′43″W	A. schmitti
PETAR	Temimina I Cave (SP-060)	24°23′16.5″S; 048°34′16.5″W	A. schmitti
PETAR	Temimina II Cave (SP-061)	24°23'S; 048°34'W	A. schmitti
PETAR	Santana Cave (SP-041)	24°31′51″S; 048°42′06″W	A. microphthalma; A. schmitti
PETAR	Água Suja Cave (SP-025)	24°31′25″S; 048°42′27″W	A. schmitti
PETAR	Ressurgência do Córrego Seco Cave (SP-049)	24°57′85.3″S; 048°62′86.5″W	none
PETAR	Areias de Baixo Cave (SP-019)	24°35′ 20″S; 048°42′05″W	A. cavernicola
PETAR	Ressurgência das Areias de Água Quente Cave (SP-016)	24°33′51″S; 048°40′14″W	none
PETAR	Betari de Baixo Cave (SP-47)	24°34′S; 048°37′W	none
PETAR	Alambari de Baixo Cave (SP-12)	24°33′24″S; 048°39′55″W	none
PETAR	Morro do Couto Cave (SP-20)	24°31′58″S; 048°41′55″W	none
PETAR	Aranhas Cave (SP-113)	24°26′S; 048°35′W	none
PETAR	Água Sumida Cave (SP-193)	24°27′42″S; 048°36′44″W	none
PETAR	Desmoronada Cave (SP-074)	24°24′16″S; 048°32′44″W	none
PETAR	Ouro Grosso Cave (SP-054)	24°33'S; 048°41'W	none
PETAR	Lago Subterrâneo Cave	24°19′34″S; 048°29′24″W	sp. n. 1
PETAR	Ribeirãozinho III Cave (SP-138)	24°20′35″S; 048°30′33″W	sp. n. 2
PETAR	Areados IV Cave (SP-524)	24°21′41″S; 048°31′54″W	sp. n. 3
PETAR	Furo da Agulha Cave (SP-483)	24°23′23″S; 048°34′14″W	sp. n. 4
PEI	Pilões River	24°48′78″S; 048°48′30″W	none
PEI	Bocaina River	24°16′ 20″S; 048°27′15″W	A. marginata
PEI	Colorida Cave (SP-129)	24°16′13″S; 048°25′09″W	A. marginata
PEI	Fendão Cave (SP-239)	24°16′11″S; 048°26′55″W	A. marginata
PEI	Pescaria Cave (SP-10)	24°27′7.5″S; 048°33′3.5″W	none
PEI	Jane Mansfield Cave (SP-237)	24°16′S; 048°27′W	A. marginata
PEI	Fogo Cave (SP-236)	24°16′S; 048°26′W	none
PEI	Minotauro Cave (SP-247)	24°15′S; 048°27′W	none
PEI	Tatu Cave (SP-233)	24°16′S; 048°25′W	A. marginata
PEI	dos Paiva Cave (SP-042)	24°16′24″S; 48°26′32″W	A. leptochela; A. marginata
PEI	Agua Luminosa Cave (SP-307)	24°17′05″S; 48°26′16″W	A. marginata
PEI	Barra Bonita Cave (SP-271)	24°16′03.0″S; 48°27′24.0″W	A. marginata

Table 1. Epigean and subterranean stream reaches sampled in the present study. The cave registration number refers to the cave records (SBE 1991). Data include previous records by Bond-Buckup and Buckup (1994), Moracchioli (1994), Rocha and Bueno (2004), Maia et al. (in press), as well as site information regarding the four new troglobitic species (Bueno et al., unpublished).

subterranean routes in the same limestone outcrop. The reason why they are restricted only to their type locality still needs to be investigated, but could be related to inability to access these subterranean routes.

As observed by Barr (1968), the dispersal of troglophiles is not dependent upon underground channels through the limestone and, thus, their geographic distribution is not necessarily controlled by the extent of karst regions. Without the restrictions imposed by limestone discontinuity, migrations of troglophiles should occur among caves as well as epigean routes in the same biospeological system. In this case, as discussed by Barr (1967) and Barr and Holsinger (1985), these species are frequently widely distributed. Corroborating this statement, we confirmed a wide range of the troglophile A. marginata in epigean, as well as in subterranean stream reaches in Intervales (Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994), where all caves surveyed (Table 1) are located in the same limestone belt (see geological map from Pellegatti-Franco, 1997). This indicates that both routes can be used for dispersion by this troglophile as shown by several localities where the occurrence of this species has been reported.

Contrasting with the wide range of A. marginata, A. schmitti occurs only in superficial rivers and in a few caves

from PETAR, all of them located in different limestone lenses. This difference between the distributions of the two troglophiles is possibly due to different degrees of specialization to subterranean environment and also to the PETAR geomorphologic unit. Unlike Intervales, PETAR comprises four different limestone belts intercalated with insoluble rocks and each cave with *A. schmitti* record is located in a different belt of limestone outcrops, inaccessible via underground routes (Trajano, 1991; Bichuette, 1998; Genthner et al., 2003). This truncated and non-linear pattern suggests that colonization of the subterranean domain by this species occurs only through epigean source populations.

Hypotheses about Colonization of Subterranean Realms and Speciation of Troglobitic Aegla

The phylogenetic relationship among extant aeglids is based on molecular data and biogeography published by Pérez-Losada et al. (2004), and updated modifications in subsequent works (Pérez-Losada et al., 2009; Bond-Buckup et al., 2010b; Santos et al., 2010). In these papers, the troglobitic species *A. cavernicola* and *A. leptochela* are more related respectively to the troglophilic species *Aegla strinatti* Türkay, 1972 (from Jacupiranga State Park) and *A. marginata*, then to each other. Even the clade *A. cavernicola/A. strinatii* is



Fig. 2. Caves and rivers in the Alto Ribeira Tourist State Park (PETAR), where we obtained individuals of *Aegla*. The limestone lenses are highlighted and the cave registration number refers to the cave records (SBE, 1991) where aeglid specimens were collected. SP-018 = Areias de Cima Cave; SP-019 = Areias de Baixo Cave; SP-025 = Água Suja Cave; SP-041 = Santana Cave; SP-060 = Temimina I Cave; SP-061 = Temimina II Cave. Three new troglobitic aeglid awaiting full description and sampled from recently discovered/explored caves are also indicated: sp. n. 2 from Ribeirãozinho III Cave (SP-138); sp. n. 3 from Areado IV Cave (SP-524) and sp. n. 4 from Furo da Agulha Cave (SP-483) (modified from Bichuette, 1998 and Campanha, 2003).

indicated as showing more of a phylogenetic affinity to the clade formed by the epigean species *Aegla parana* Schmitt, 1942 and *A. schmitti* than to the troglobite *A. leptochela*.

According to Pérez-Losada et al. (2004), the troglobite A. leptochela is closely related to the troglophile A. marginata and both populations co-exist inside dos Paiva Cave. Oddly, the clade A. leptochela/A. marginata is depicted in the cladogram as being more related to aeglids from a different hydrographic basin (clade E in Pérez-Losada et al., 2004, which includes species from Rio Grande do Sul, the southernmost state of Brazil) than to any other aeglid species from the karstic province of the Alto Ribeira. In later trees, modified from Pérez-Losada et al. (2004), A. leptochela has been depicted as sister-taxon of A. muelleri Bond-Buckup et al., 2010b from the hydrographic basin (Itajaí Basin) in the state of Santa Catarina, but still maintained in a separate clade from the one that contains the species from the Alto Ribeira (Bond-Buckup et al., 2010b, Santos et al., 2012). So far, A. microphthalma and the four new troglobitic species have not yet been included in any phylogenetic study.

The current understanding of the phylogenetic relationship of *Aegla* provides support to a coherent interpretation of the possible route of colonization and speciation of troglobitic aeglids according to the Adaptative Zone Model, proposed by Stoch (1995) to explain historical determinants of species diversity in hypogean habitats. In this model, the diversity and distributional pattern of troglobitic aeglids in the karst province are coherent with the multiple colonization events, and would involve the following sequence of steps: colonization (exploitation of resources and occupation of empty niches) of subterranean domain by epigean species; speciation leading to the formation of true troglobitic population through genetic isolation of colonizers from epigean population; no radiation would occur (see Stoch, 1995, for details of each step). The adequacy of the multiple colonization events model to explain the diversity of troglobitic aeglids and yet remaining coherent to the current phylogenetic view of Aegla do imply that successful colonization of hypogean habitats occurred independently, not necessarily simultaneous in time, from epigean populations, and led to the establishment of highly endemic troglobitic populations, with each species completely isolated from one another. Assuming that all surface ancestors of a troglobite are extinct, then the phylogenetic trees of extant species resulting from a vicariant or dispersal model of allopatric speciation are identical (see Culver et al., 2009). Further considerations,



Fig. 3. Caves and rivers in the Intervales State Park (PEI), where we collected *Aegla*. The limestone lenses are highlighted and the cave registration number refers to the cave records (SBE 1991) where aeglid specimens were collected. SP-271 = Barra Bonita Cave; SP-239 = Fendão Cave; SP-237 = Jane Mansfield Cave; SP-042 = Paiva Cave; SP-129 = Colorida Cave; SP-233 = Tatu Cave; SP-307 = Água Luminosa Cave. The type locality of, as yet undescribed species, *Aegla* sp. n. 1 (Lago Subterrâneo Cave, unregistered) is also indicated (modified from Pellegatti-Franco, 1997).

therefore, should be viewed with caution. Nevertheless, we discuss the most probable scenario.

Paleontological and geological evidence shows that the Alto Ribeira karstic area was under the influence of Pleistocene climatic changes which may have provoked alterations in groundwater level and, consequently, isolation of troglophilic populations in subterranean environments (Ab'Saber, 1977; Trajano and Britski, 1992; Ledru, 1993; Cruz et al., 2005). Associated with this, it seems that a gradual increase in the subterranean drainage capacity of limestones has occurred, resulting from chemical dissolution, and, consequently, successive drainage alterations (Karmann, 1994). While these events possibly isolated subterranean populations, the insoluble rocks that uplifted between formerly connected systems (Trajano, 1991, 1995) could have acted as barriers to subterranean dispersal.

Considering the geological and molecular evidence mentioned above, we suggest that an independent colonization of subterranean domain occurred by different epigean ancestors, followed by vicariance and speciation. Thus, the troglobites would be relicts of extinct epigean ancestors, while the extant troglophilic species did not achieve reproductive isolation after the vicariance events, resulting in their present distribution with species not closely related to them, as hypothesized by Barr (1967, 1968) when discussing the ecological consequences of limestone discontinuity.

In his paper about a globalized world of globalized biota, Schram (2008) rightfully pointed out that life forms that suffer minimal effects from globalization (marginal fauna from quite inaccessible environments) constitute the best material for biogeographic and evolutionary studies. In this context, candidate taxa should meet four criteria (Schram, 2008): (1) be of a demonstrated ancient lineage, (2) be ecological generalists, (3) live in cryptic habitats, and (4) have limited abilities to disperse.

The taxon Aegla does meet at least three of these criteria. The point of origin and dispersion routes of freshwater aeglids through paleohydrograhic basins of continental South America has recently been well established and dated (Perez-Losada et al., 2004). The east-northward dispersion route through the Paraná river paleobasin are among the latest chapter in the evolutionary history of freshwater aeglids, having taking place at approximately 25 my, identified as clade C in Pérez-Losada et al. (2004). Presently, the hydrographic area known as the Upper Paraná Basin includes all species from the southeastern region of Brazil. This region is of particular interest because it contains the only known representatives of true cave-dwelling aeglids. Several species from epigean habitats as well as all troglobitic species are highly endemic (Türkay, 1972; Hebling and Rodrigues, 1977; Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994; Bueno et al., 2007), the latter group being reported from their respective type locality only. Both epigean and hypogean populations of aeglids show limited potential for dispersion. Average fecundity is usually low and the post-embryonic development is epimorphic, that is, the hatching form is a benthic juvenile (Bond-Buckup et al., 1996, 1999; Bueno and Bond-Buckup, 1996; Francisco et al., 2007; Teodósio and Masunari, 2007; Moraes and Bueno, 2013). Newly hatched juveniles remain under maternal care for a few days before venturing in the surroundings on their own (Bahamonde and López, 1961; Rodrigues and Hebling, 1978; Bueno and Bond-Buckup, 1996; Bond-Buckup et al., 1999; Swiech-Ayoub and Masunari, 2001; López-Greco et al., 2004; Francisco et al., 2007). Dispersion is limited because recruits tend to remain in the same area inhabited by the parental cohorts.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have tried to demonstrate that the current distribution of aeglids in the karstic province of the Alto Ribeira can be a consequence of multiple colonization events influenced by the geomorphology of the area as well as by the limited potential for dispersion of these species. The study area, known as the only which harbors troglobitic aeglids, is thus favorable to troglobite occurrence, as a consequence of the fragmented nature of the limestone. This potential is confirmed by the four recently discovered troglobites. Given the extensive area of continuous limestone lenses intercalated with insoluble rocks and the large number of caves yet to be fully explored, one can see the high potential for new species findings that the Alto Ribeira area can provide.

We are aware that this issue is pretty complex and that some hypotheses discussed here still needs to be validated by further field explorations and molecular studies. As new information on the geological formation of the Alto Ribeira becomes available and new troglobitic aeglid species are described and validated, future studies should provide further insights regarding the distributional pattern and speciation mechanisms of aeglids, or other aquatic organism such as cave-dwelling fish.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank several colleagues for participating in the field work (T. L. C. Scatolini, D. Monteiro-Neto, J. E. Gallão and F. F. Franco). We also thank Dr^a Eleonora Trajano (IB/USP) and Dr. Marcos Tavares (MZUSP), for permission to access scientific collections. This study was accomplished with financial support from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), by means of a master's degree scholarship awarded to the first author (No. 13.6052/2009-6) and research scholarship to SLSB (No. 302663/2009-6). We are indebted to the program BIOTA/FAPESP (grant 98/05073-4) and FAPESP (grant 08/57128-0) for providing financial support during field surveys that resulted in the acquisition of a fine collection of aeglid specimens used in this study. Thanks to UPE (União Paulista de Espeleologia), to Grupo Bambui de Pesquisas Espeleológicas, to Felipe Cohen and to Pedro Machado for their assistance in field work inside caves. All samplings were performed according to Brazilian State and Federal laws concerning wild animals (ICMBIO No. 19.051-1).

REFERENCES

- Ab'Saber, A. 1977. Espaços ocupados pela expansão dos climas secos na América do Sul, por ocasião dos períodos glaciais quaternários. Paleoclimas 3: 1-9.
- Alves Jr., L. B. 2007. Invertebrados aquáticos: crustáceos e gastrópodes, pp. 106-111. In, E. Trajano (ed.), Sistema Areias – 100 anos de estudo. Redespeleo Brasil, São Paulo.
- Bahamonde, N., and M. T. López. 1961. Estudios biologicos en la población de Aegla laevis laevis (Latreille) de el Monte (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura). Investigaciones Zoologicas Chilenas 7: 19-58.
- Barr Jr., T. C. 1967. Observations on the ecology of caves. The American Naturalist 101: 475-491.
- ——. 1968. Cave ecology and the evolution of troglobites. Evolutionary Biology 2: 35-97.
- , and J. R. Holsinger. 1985. Speciation in cave faunas. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 16: 313-337.
- Bichuette, M. E. 1998. Distribuição e biologia de gastrópodes de água doce, gênero *Potamolithus*, no Vale do Alto Ribeira, São Paulo (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Hydrobiidae). Dissertation (Master's Degree in Zoology), Biosciences Institute from São Paulo University, São Paulo, 127 p.
- Bond-Buckup, G., and L. Buckup. 1994. A Família Aeglidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura). Arquivos de Zoologia do Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo 32: 1-346.
- —, A. A. P. Bueno, and K. A. Keunecke. 1996. Primeiro estágio juvenil de Aegla prado Schmitt (Crustacea, Decapoda, Anomura, Aeglidae). Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 13: 1049-1061.
- , _____, and _____. 1999. Morphological characteristics of juvenile specimens of *Aegla* (Decapoda, Anomura, Aeglidae), pp. 371-381. In, F. R. Schram and C. V. Klein (eds.), Crustaceans and the Biodiversity Crisis. Proceedings of the Fourth International Crustacean Congress 1.
- , C. G. Jara, L. Buckup, A. A. P. Bueno, M. Pérez-Losada, and K. Crandall. 2010a. Description of a new species of Aeglidae, and new records of related species from river basins in Argentina (Crustacea, Anomura). Zootaxa 2343: 18-30.
- , _____, ____, M. Pérez-Losada, A. A. P. Bueno, K. Crandall, and S. Santos. 2010b. New species and new records of endemic freshwater crabs from the Atlantic forest in southern Brazil (Anomura: Aeglidae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 30: 495-502.
- , _____, M. Pérez-Losada, L. Buckup, and K. Crandall. 2008. Global diversity of crabs (Aeglidae: Anomura: Decapoda) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595: 267-273.
- Bueno, A. A. P., and G. Bond-Buckup. 1996. Os estágios juvenis de Aegla violacea Bond-Buckup and Buckup (Crustacea, Anomura, Aeglidae). Nauplius 4: 39-47.
- Bueno, S. L. S., A. L. Camargo, B. F. Takano, and F. P. A. Cohen. 2010. Crustáceos eglídeos (*Aegla* sp.): uma história única na América do Sul. O Carste 22: 8-11.
- , R. M. Shimizu, and S. S. Rocha. 2007. Estimating the population size of *Aegla franca* (Decapoda: Anomura: Aeglidae) by mark-recapture technique from an isolated section of Barro Preto stream, County of Claraval, state of Minas Gerais, southeastern Brazil. Journal of Crustacean Biology 27(4): 553-559.

Campanha, G. A. C. 2003. O papel do sistema de zonas de cisalhamento transcorrentes na configuração da porção meridional da Faixa Ribeira. Livre Docência Thesis, São Paulo University, São Paulo, 138 p.

- Christiansen, K., and D. C. Culver. 1987. Biogeography and the distribution of cave Collembola. Journal of Biogeography 14: 459-477.
- Christman, M. C., and D. C. Culver. 2001. The relationship between cave biodiversity and available habitat. Journal of Biogeography 28: 367-380.
- Cruz Jr., F. W., S. J. Burns, I. Karmann, W. D. Sharp, M. Vuille, A. O. Cardoso, J. A. Ferrari, P. L. S. Dias, and O. Viana Jr. 2005. Insolationdriven changes in atmospheric circulation over the past 116,000 years in subtropical Brazil. Nature 434: 63-66.
- Culver, D. C. 1982. Cave Life. Evolution and Ecology. Harvard Press, Cambridge, MA, 189 p.
- , J. R. Holsinger, and R. Baroody. 1973. Toward a predictive cave biogeography: the Greenbrier Valley as a case study. Evolution 27: 689-695.
- T. Pipan, and K. Schneider. 2009. Vicariance, dispersal and scale in the aquatic subterranean fauna of karst regions. Freshwater Biology 54: 918-929.
- —, and B. Sket. 2000. Hotspots of subterranean biodiversity in caves and wells. Journal of Cave and Karst Studies 62: 11-17.
- Dana, J. D. 1852. Crustacea. Part 1, pp. 1-685. In, United States Exploring Expedition during the Years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, Under the Command of Charles Wilkes, USN, 13.
- Feldmann, R. M. 1984. *Haumuriaegla glaessneri* n. gen. and sp. (Decapoda; Anomura; Aeglidae) from Haumurian (Late Cretaceous) rocks near Cheviot, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics 27: 379-385.
- —, F. J. Vega, S. P. Applegate, and G. A. Bishop. 1998. Early Cretaceous arthropods from the Tlayúa formation at Tapexi de Rodríguez, Puebla, México. Journal of Paleontology 72: 79-90.
- Francisco, D. A., S. L. S. Bueno, and T. C. Kihara. 2007. Description of the first juvenile of *Aegla franca* Schmitt, 1942 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Aeglidae). Zootaxa 1509: 17-30.
- Genthner, C., J. C. Ferrari, and I. Karmann. 2003. Identificação das áreas de Recarga de fontes cársticas com o uso do traçador Rodamina Fwt (Área carbonática Lajeado-Bombas, Iporanga, SP). Revista do Instituto Geológico 24: 11-23.
- Gibert, J., and L. Deharveng. 2002. Subterranean ecosystems: a truncated functional biodiversity. BioScience 52: 473-481.
- Gnaspini, P., and E. Trajano. 1994. Brazilian cave invertebrates, with checklist of troglomorphic taxa. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia 38: 549-584.
- Hebling, N. J., and W. Rodrigues. 1977. Sobre uma nova espécie brasileira do gênero Aegla Leach, 1820 (Decapoda, Anomura). Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia 30: 289-294.
- Hobbs III, H. H. 1978. A new species of the endemic South American genus Aegla from Paraná, Brazil (Crustacea: Anomura: Aeglidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 91: 982-988.
- IUCN. 2010. Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 8.0. Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Subcommittee in March 2010. Available online at http://intranet.iucn.org/webfiles/doc/ SSC/RedList/RedListGuidelines.pdf.
- Juberthie, C. 2000. The diversity of the karstic and pseudokarstic hypogean habitats in the world, pp. 17-39. In, H. Wilkens, D. C. Culver, and W. F. Humphreys, Ecosystems of the World 30. Subterranean Ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- , and V. Decu. 1994. Structure et diversité du domaine souterrain: particularités des habitats et adaptations des espéces, pp. 5-22. In, C. Juberthie and V. Decu (eds.), Encyclopaedia Biospeologica I. Société de Biospéléologie, Moulis-Bucarest.
- Karmann, I. 1994. Evolução e dinâmica atual do sistema cárstico do Alto Vale do Rio Ribeira de Iguape, sudeste do Estado de São Paulo. Thesis (Ph.D. in Geology), Geosciences Institute, São Paulo University, São Paulo, 241 p.
- , and J. A. Ferrari. 2002. Carste e cavernas do Parque Estadual Turístico do Alto Ribeira (PETAR), SP. Sistemas de cavernas com paisagens subterrâneas únicas, pp. 401-413. In, C. Schobbenhaus, D. A. Campos, E. T. Queiroz, M. Winge, and M. L. C. Berbert-Born (eds.), Sítios Geologicos e Paleontológicos do Brasil. DNPM/CPRM – Comissão Brasileira de Sítios Geológicos e Paleontológicos (SIGEP), Brasília.
- ——, and L. E. Sánchez. 1979. Distribuição das rochas carbonáticas e províncias espeleológicas do Brasil. Espeleo-Tema 13: 105-167.

- Leach, W. E. 1820. Galatéadées, pp. 49-56. In, F. G. Levrault (ed.), Dictionnaire des Sciences Naturelles. Strasbourg.
- Ledru, M. P. 1993. Late Quaternary environmental and climatic changes in Central Brazil. Quaternary Research 39: 90-98.
- López-Greco, L. S., V. Viau, M. Lavolpe, G. Bond-Buckup, and E. M. Rodríguez. 2004. Juvenile hatching and maternal care in *Aegla uruguayana* (Anomura, Aeglidae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 24: 309-313.
- Maia, K. P., S. L. S Bueno, and E. Trajano. 2013. Ecologia populacional e conservação de eglídeos (Crustacea: Decapoda: Aeglidae) em cavernas da área cárstica do Alto Ribeira, em São Paulo. Revista da Biologia 8, in press.
- McLaughlin, P. A., R. Lemaitre, and K. A. Crandall. 2010. Annotated checklist of anomuran decapods crustaceans of the world (exclusive of the Kiwaoidea and families Chirostylidae and Galatheidae of the Galatheoidea). Part III – Aegloidea. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 23: 131-137.
- —, and T. Murray. 1990. *Clibanarius fonticola*, new species (Anomura: Paguridae: Diogenidae), from a fresh-water pool on Espiritu Santo, Vanuatu. Journal of Crustacean Biology 10: 695-702.
- Moracchioli, N. 1994. Estudo da biologia de Aegla spp. cavernícolas do Vale do Alto Ribeira, São Paulo (Crustacea: Anomura: Aeglidae). Dissertation (Master's Degree in Zoology), Biosciences Institute, São Paulo University, São Paulo, 148 p.
- Moraes, J. C. B., and S. L. S. Bueno. 2013. Description of the newlyhatched juvenile of *Aegla paulensis* (Decapoda, Anomura, aeglidae). Zootaxa, in press.
- Oyanedel, A., C. Valdovinos, N. Sandoval, C. Moya, G. Kiessling, J. Salvo, and V. Olmos. 2011. The southernmost freshwater anomurans of the world: geographic distribution and new records of Patagonian aeglids (Decapoda: Aeglidae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 31: 396-400.
- Palmer, A. N. 2007. Cave Geology. Cave Books, Dayton, OH.
- Pellegatti-Franco, F. 1997. Estudo da história natural do grilo cavernícola Strinatia brevipennis (Ensifera: phalangopsidae) em laboratório. Dissertation (Master's Degree in Zoology), Biosciences Institute, São Paulo University, São Paulo, 66 p.
- Pérez-Losada, M., G. Bond-Buckup, C. Jara, and K. Crandall. 2004. Molecular systematics and biogeography of the southern South American freshwater "Crabs" *Aegla* (Decapoda: Anomura: Aeglidae) using multiple heuristic tree search approaches. Systematic Biology 53: 767-780.
- _____, ____, and _____. 2009. Conservation assessment of southern South American freshwater ecoregions on the basis of the distribution and genetic diversity of crabs from the genus Aegla. Conservation Biology 23: 692-702.
- Rocha, S. S., and S. L. S. Bueno. 2004. Crustáceos decápodes de água doce com ocorrência no Vale do Ribeira de Iguape e Rios Costeiros adjacentes, São Paulo, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 21: 1001-1010.
- _____, and _____. 2011. Extension of the known distribution of *Aegla strinatii* Türkay, 1972 and a checklist of decapods crustaceans (Aeglidae, Palaemonidae and Trichodactylidae) from the Jacupiranga State Park, south of São Paulo state, Brazil. Nauplius 19: 163-167.
- Rodrigues, W., and N. Hebling. 1978. Estudos biológicos em Aegla perobae Hebling and Rodrigues, 1977 (Decapoda, Anomura). Revista Brasileira de Biologia 38: 383-390.
- Sallun, A. E. M., and W. Sallun Filho. 2009. Geologia em Planos de Manejo – Subsídios para Zoneamento Ambiental do PEI – SP. Geociências 28: 91-107.
- Santos, S., G. Bond-Buckup, L. Buckup, M. Pérez-Losada, M. Finley, and K. A. Crandall. 2012. Three new species of *Aegla* (Anomura) freshwater crabs from the upper Uruguay river hydrographic basin in Brazil. Journal of Crustacean Biology 32: 529-540.
- , _____, M. Pérez-Losada, M. L. Bartholomei-Santos, and L. Buckup. 2009. *Aegla manuinflata*, a new species of freshwater anomuran (Decapoda: Anomura: Aeglidae) from Brazil, determined by morphological and molecular characters. Zootaxa 2088: 31-40.
- , ____, ____, C. G. Jara, K. A Crandall, and L. Buckup. 2010. New records and description of a new species of Aeglidae (Crustacea: Anomura) from river basins in southern Brazil. Nauplius 18(1): 79-86.
- São Paulo (Estado). Secretaria do Meio Ambiente do Estado de São Paulo (SMA). 2006. Áreas especialmente protegidas. São Paulo, São Paulo, 495 p.
- Schmitt, W. L. 1942. The species of *Aegla*, endemic South American freshwater crustaceans. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 91: 431-524.

- with globalized faunas? Contributions to Zoology 77: 127-133. Sociedade Brasileira de Espeleologia (SBE). 1991. Cadastro nacional de cavidades naturais. SBE, Campinas, 221 p.
- Stoch, F. 1995. The ecological and historical determinants of crustacean diversity in groundwaters, or: why are there so many species? Mémoires de Biospéologie 22: 139-160.
- Swiech-Ayoub, B. P., and S. Masunari. 2001. Biologia reprodutiva de Aegla castro Schmitt (Crustacea: Anomura: Aeglidae) no Buraco do Padre, Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 18: 1019-1030.
- Teodósio, E. A. F. M. O., and S. Masunari. 2007. Description of first two juvenile stages of *Aegla schmitti* Hobbs III, 1979 (Anomura: Aeglidae). Nauplius 15: 73-80.
- Trajano, E. 1987. Fauna cavernícola brasileira: composição e caracterização preliminar. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 3(8): 533-561.

- . 1995. Evolution of tropical troglobites: applicability of the model of Quaternary climatic fluctuations. Mémoires de Biospéologie 23: 203-209.
- , and H. A. Britski. 1992. *Pimelodella kronei* (Ribeiro, 1907) e seu sinônimo Caecorhamdella brasiliensis Borodin, 1927: morfologia externa, taxonomia e evolução (Teleostomi, Siluriformes). Boletim de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo 12: 53-89.
- Türkay, M. 1972. Neue Höhlendekapoden aus Brasilien (Crustacea). Revue Suisse de Zoologie 79(1): 415-418.

RECEIVED: 11 September 2012. ACCEPTED: 1 March 2013.

AVAILABLE ONLINE: 3 April 2013.